## 

noting that the plaintiff has not "produced a written document evidencing ownership . . . in the copyright in the Article." (Doc. #12 at 7:25–27). Although, again, the court cannot grant summary judgment where defendant has not presented authenticated evidence to support defendant's claim that "[t]he real party in interest appears to be Stevens Media LLC . . . the publisher of the Las Vegas Review-Journal" (doc. #12 at 2:11–13), the court finds resolution of the issue sufficiently pressing as to warrant immediate consideration.

Righthaven's ownership of its assigned copyrights has been generally contested in a case before Judge Hunt, *Righthaven LLC v. Democratic Underground*, *LLC et al.* In *Democratic Underground*, the newly unsealed "Strategic Alliance Agreement" (doc. #79-1 in 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-GWF), governing all purported copyright assignments from Stevens Media to Righthaven, appears to support defendant Scaccia's claim that Righthaven does not have standing to sue for copyright infringement. (*See* doc. #79 in 2:10-cv-01356-RLH -GWF). Specifically, Stevens Media has not assigned to Righthaven one of the 17 U.S.C. § 106 exclusive rights in the copyrights Righthaven purports to own, as would be required for Righthaven to validly allege an infringement claim on those copyrights. *See Silvers v. Sony Pictures Entm't, Inc.*, 402 F.3d 881, 884 (9th Cir. 2005) ("legal or beneficial owner of an exclusive right under a copyright is entitled, subject to the requirements of section 411, to institute an action for any infringement of that particular right committed while he or she is the owner of it") (quoting 17 U.S.C. § 501(b)).

This court believes that the issue should be addressed at the outset of Righthaven litigation, as it goes to the plaintiff's standing to bring a copyright infringement claim at all. Thus, in the interest of judicial economy, the court issues this order to show cause why the case should not be dismissed for plaintiff's lack of beneficial ownership of the copyright, and, therefore, lack of standing to sue.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendant's motion for summary judgment (doc. #12) is DENIED;

	Case 2:10-cv-01575-JCM -PAL Document 21 Filed 04/28/11 Page 3 of 3
1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the plaintiff show cause, in writing, within ten (10) days
2	of the date of filing of this order why the court should not dismiss the instant case for lack of
3	standing;
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a show cause hearing is scheduled before District Judge
5	James C. Mahan on May 12, 2011, at 10:30 a.m. in Courtroom 6A. Failure of plaintiff to appear
6	shall result in the imposition of sanctions.
7	DATED April 27, 2011.
8	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	OTHER STATES DISTRICT SUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	
28	
an	
ıdge	- 3 -

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge